

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,552	02/27/2004	Kenji Masumoto	TI-34908 (032350.B588)	7074
23494 7	590 03/23/2005		EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			CLARK, SHEILA V	
P O BOX 6554 DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2815	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/23/2009	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicant(s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/788,552	MASUMOTO, KENJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	S. V. Clark	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	-			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thin riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communica  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		•	•			
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo						
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 25-27 is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,16,17,19 and 20 is/are rejection and 21-24 is/are objecte 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration. ected. ed to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-27-04.	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2815

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 16, 17, 19, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zollo et al.

Zollo et al shows in for example figure 3 a photoreceiver formed on a substrate 103 and having a first light passage area 312 and said receiver is formed with an aperture 304 for receiving light signals there through. As the technology has an IC structure and as Zollo discusses said receiver as having memory it is deemed that said receiver may obviously receive and transmit digital signals. Col. 3, line 48 teaches that solder balls may be attached in lieu of wire bonds and thereby establishing a flip chip arrangement. Said balls would also obviously be connected to conductive interconnect structures on said substrate as is typically performed.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 16, 17, 19, 20 are rejected. Claims 3, 6-15, 18, 21-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 25-27are deemed to be allowable over the prior art of record.

Pakadaman et al, Ono et al are cited to show light receiver structures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to S. V. Clark at telephone number (571) 272-1725.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2815